

FINAL REPORT

PATIENT	SPECIMEN INFORMATION	ORDERED BY
Name: Patient, Test Date of Birth: XX-Mon-1946 Sex: Male Case Number: TN14-111111 Diagnosis: Malignant melanoma, NOS	Primary Tumor Site: Skin, NOS Specimen Site: Skin of hip Specimen ID: ABC-12345-YZ Specimen Collected: XX-Mon-2015 Completion of Testing: XX-Mon-2015	Ordering Physician, MD The Cancer Center 12345 Main Street Springfield, YZ (123) 456-7890

Bold Therapies = On NCCN Compendium® Therapies

✓ THERAPIES WITH POTENTIAL BENEFIT (PAGE 4)

dabrafenib, trametinib, vemurafenib BRAF [★]	docetaxel PGP, TUBB3, TLE3 [★]	gemcitabine RRM1 [★]
dacarbazine, temozolomide MGMT [★]	doxorubicin, epirubicin, liposomal-doxorubicin Her2/Neu, TOP2A, PGP	irinotecan TOPO1
paclitaxel PGP, TUBB3, TLE3 [★]		

★ Indicates Clinical Trial Opportunity • 51 Chemotherapy Trials • 48 Targeted Therapy Trials (See Clinical Trials Connector™ on page 7 for details.)

✗ THERAPIES WITH POTENTIAL LACK OF BENEFIT (PAGE 5)

ado-trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1), pertuzumab, trastuzumab Her2/Neu	capecitabine, fluorouracil, pemetrexed TS	lapatinib Her2/Neu
--	--	-----------------------

? THERAPIES WITH INDETERMINATE BENEFIT (PAGE 6)

carboplatin, cisplatin	nab-paclitaxel	oxaliplatin
imatinib	everolimus, temsirolimus	vandetanib

Therapies associated with potential benefit or lack of benefit, as indicated above, are based on biomarker results provided in this report and are based on published medical evidence. This evidence may have been obtained from studies performed in the cancer type present in the tested patient's sample or derived from another tumor type. The selection of any, all, or none of the matched therapies resides solely with the discretion of the treating physician. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all available information in addition to this report concerning the patient's condition in accordance with the applicable standard of care.

SUMMARY OF BIOMARKER RESULTS (SEE APPENDIX FOR FULL DETAILS)

Biomarker	Method	Result	Biomarker	Method	Result
ABL1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	JAK2	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
AKT1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	JAK3	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
ALK	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	KDR (VEGFR2)	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
Androgen Receptor	IHC	Negative	KRAS	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
APC	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	MGMT	IHC	Negative
ATM	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	MPL	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
BRAF	NGS	Mutated V600E	NOTCH1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
BRAF	qPCR	Mutated V600E	NPM1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
BRCA1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	NRAS	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
BRCA2	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	PD-1 IHC	IHC	Positive
CDH1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	PDGFRA	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
c-KIT	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	PD-L1 IHC	IHC	Negative
cMET	IHC	Negative	PGP	IHC	Negative
cMET	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	PIK3CA	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
cMET	CISH	Not Amplified	PR	IHC	Negative
CSF1R	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	PTEN	IHC	Positive
CTNNB1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	PTEN	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
EGFR	IHC	Negative	PTPN11	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
EGFR	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	RB1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
ER	IHC	Negative	RET	NGS	Quantity Not Sufficient
ERBB4	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	RRM1	IHC	Negative
FBXW7	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	SMAD4	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
FGFR1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	SMARCB1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
FGFR2	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	SMO	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
FLT3	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	SPARC Monoclonal	IHC	Negative
GNA11	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	SPARC Polyclonal	IHC	Negative
GNAQ	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	STK11	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
GNAS	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	TLE3	IHC	Positive
Her2/Neu	IHC	Negative	TOP2A	IHC	Positive
Her2/Neu	CISH	Not Amplified	TOPO1	IHC	Positive
Her2/Neu (ERBB2)	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	TP53	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
HNF1A	NGS	Quantity Not Sufficient	TS	IHC	Positive
HRAS	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	TUBB3	IHC	Negative
IDH1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	VHL	NGS	Mutation Not Detected

IHC: Immunohistochemistry

PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction, (cobas® 4800 platform)

CISH: Chromogenic *in situ* hybridization **NGS:** Next-Generation Sequencing

Biomarker Results continued on the next page. >

PATIENT: Patient, Test (XX-Mon-1946)

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

SUMMARY OF BIOMARKER RESULTS (SEE APPENDIX FOR FULL DETAILS)

For Next-Generation Sequencing, a total of 46 genes were analyzed. The results above include genes most commonly associated with cancer and any additional mutations identified. No alterations were identified in 43 genes. For a complete list of genes tested, visit www.CarisMolecularIntelligence.com/profilemenu.

Footnote(s):

Clinical studies have shown that patients with PD-L1-positive tumors have higher response rates to certain immune checkpoint inhibitors compared to patients with PD-L1-negative tumors, although PD-L1-negative patients may still benefit from these treatments. PD-1 is a component of the PD-1/PD-L1 immunosuppressive axis; the presence of PD-1-positive lymphocytes may also suggest response to immune checkpoint inhibitors given the mechanistic association between these two proteins.

See the Appendix section for a detailed overview of the biomarker test results for each technology.

SAMPLE REPORT. ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY. NOT FOR CLINICAL USE.

✓ THERAPIES WITH **POTENTIAL BENEFIT**

Therapies	Test	Method	Result	Value [†]	Clinical Association				
					Potential Benefit	Decreased Potential Benefit	Lack of Potential Benefit	Highest Level of Evidence*	Reference
dabrafenib , trametinib , vemurafenib	BRAF	Next Gen SEQ	Mutated, Pathogenic	V600E	✓			I / Good	16 [#] , 17 [#] , 18 [#] , 19 [#]
	BRAF	qPCR	V600E	Mutated	✓			I / Good	16 [#] , 17 [#] , 18 [#] , 19 [#]
dacarbazine , temozolomide	MGMT	IHC	Negative	1+ 4%	✓			II-2 / Good	20 [#] , 21
docetaxel , paclitaxel	PGP	IHC	Negative	0+ 100%	✓			II-3 / Fair	22, 23
	TLE3	IHC	Positive	2+ 40%	✓			II-2 / Good	28
	TUBB3	IHC	Negative	2+ 25%	✓			I / Good	24, 25, 26, 27
doxorubicin , epirubicin , liposomal-doxorubicin	Her2/Neu	CISH	Not Amplified	.97		✓		I / Good	29, 30
	PGP	IHC	Negative	0+ 100%	✓			II-1 / Fair	33, 34
	TOP2A	IHC	Positive	2+ 15%	✓			I / Good	31, 32
gemcitabine	RRM1	IHC	Negative	2+ 20%	✓			I / Good	38
irinotecan	TOPO1	IHC	Positive	2+ 50%	✓			II-1 / Good	44, 45, 46

* The level of evidence for all references is assigned according to the Literature Level of Evidence Framework consistent with the US Preventive Services Task Force described further in the Appendix of this report. The data level of each biomarker-drug interaction is the highest level of evidence based on the body of evidence, overall clinical utility, competing biomarker interactions and tumor type from which the evidence was gathered.

Evidence reference includes data from the same lineage as the tested specimen.

† Refer to Appendix for detailed Result and Value information for each biomarker, including appropriate cutoffs, unit of measure, etc.

PATIENT: Patient, Test (XX-Mon-1946)

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

X THERAPIES WITH POTENTIAL LACK OF BENEFIT

Therapies	Test	Method	Result	Value [†]	Clinical Association				
					Potential Benefit	Decreased Potential Benefit	Lack of Potential Benefit	Highest Level of Evidence*	Reference
ado-trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1), pertuzumab, trastuzumab	Her2/Neu	CISH	Not Amplified	.97			✓	I / Good	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8
	Her2/Neu	IHC	Negative	0+ 100%			✓	I / Good	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
capecitabine, fluorouracil, pemetrexed	TS	IHC	Positive	1+ 20%			✓	I / Good	9, 10, 11
lapatinib	Her2/Neu	CISH	Not Amplified	.97			✓	I / Good	8, 47, 48, 49
	Her2/Neu	IHC	Negative	0+ 100%			✓	I / Good	47, 48, 49

* The level of evidence for all references is assigned according to the Literature Level of Evidence Framework consistent with the US Preventive Services Task Force described further in the Appendix of this report. The data level of each biomarker-drug interaction is the highest level of evidence based on the body of evidence, overall clinical utility, competing biomarker interactions and tumor type from which the evidence was gathered.

†Refer to Appendix for detailed Result and Value information for each biomarker, including appropriate cutoffs, unit of measure, etc.

? THERAPIES WITH INDETERMINATE BENEFIT

(Biomarker results do not impact potential benefit or lack of potential benefit)

Therapies	Test	Method	Result	Value [†]	Clinical Association				
					Potential Benefit	Decreased Potential Benefit	Lack of Potential Benefit	Highest Level of Evidence*	Reference
<u>carboplatin, cisplatin, oxaliplatin</u>	<u>BRCA1</u>	Next Gen SEQ	Mutation Not Detected				✓	II-2 / Good	12, 13, 14, 15
	<u>BRCA2</u>	Next Gen SEQ	Mutation Not Detected				✓	II-2 / Good	12, 13, 14
<u>everolimus, temsirolimus</u>	<u>PIK3CA</u>	Next Gen SEQ	Wild Type			✓		II-2 / Good	35, 36, 37
<u>imatinib</u>	<u>c-KIT</u>	Next Gen SEQ	Wild Type				✓	II-2 / Good	42 [#] , 43 [#]
	<u>PDGFRA</u>	Next Gen SEQ	Wild Type				✓	II-3 / Good	39, 40, 41
<u>nab-paclitaxel</u>	<u>SPARC Monoclonal</u>	IHC	Negative	2+ 25%			✓	II-2 / Good	50, 51
	<u>SPARC Polyclonal</u>	IHC	Negative	2+ 15%			✓	II-2 / Good	50, 51
<u>vandetanib</u>	<u>RET</u>	Next Gen SEQ	QNS						

* The level of evidence for all references is assigned according to the Literature Level of Evidence Framework consistent with the US Preventive Services Task Force described further in the Appendix of this report. The data level of each biomarker-drug interaction is the highest level of evidence based on the body of evidence, overall clinical utility, competing biomarker interactions and tumor type from which the evidence was gathered.

Evidence reference includes data from the same lineage as the tested specimen.

†Refer to Appendix for detailed Result and Value information for each biomarker, including appropriate cutoffs, unit of measure, etc.

PATIENT: Patient, Test (XX-Mon-1946)

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

CLINICAL TRIALS CONNECTOR™

For a complete list of open, enrolling clinical trials visit MI Portal to access the [Clinical Trials Connector](#). This personalized, real-time web-based service provides additional clinical trial information and enhanced searching capabilities, including, but not limited to:

- Location: filter by geographic area
- Biomarker(s): identify specific biomarkers associated with open clinical trials to choose from
- Drug(s): search for specific therapies
- Trial Sponsor: locate trials based on the organization supporting the trial(s)

Visit www.CarisMolecularIntelligence.com to view all matched trials.

CHEMOTHERAPY CLINICAL TRIALS (51)			
Drug Class	Biomarker	Method	Investigational Agent(s)
Alkylating agents (14)	MGMT	IHC	carmustine, dacarbazine, lomustine
Nucleoside analog (23)	RRM1	IHC	gemcitabine
Taxanes (14)	TLE3	IHC	docetaxel

TARGETED THERAPY CLINICAL TRIALS (48)			
Drug Class	Biomarker	Method	Investigational Agent(s)
ERK inhibitors (1)	BRAF	Next Gen SEQ	BVD-523
Immunomodulatory agents (8)	PD-1	IHC	MK-3475, lambrolizumab, lambrolizumab (MK-3475)
MDM2 inhibitors (3)	TP53	Next Gen SEQ	CGM097, DS-3032, Kevetrin (thioureidobutyronitrile)
MEK inhibitors (4)	BRAF	Next Gen SEQ	GDC-0973
Multikinase inhibitors (32)	BRAF	Next Gen SEQ	GSK2118436 (dabrafenib), LGX818

() = represents the total number of clinical trials identified by the Clinical Trials Connector for the provided drug class or table.

REFERENCES

SOURCE	LEVEL OF EVIDENCE*
1. Bang, Y-J., Y-K. Kang, et. al. (2010). "Trastuzumab in combination with chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for treatment of HER2-positive advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer (ToGA): a phase 3, open-label, randomised controlled trial." Lancet. 376:687-97. View Citation Online	I / Good
2. Baselga, J., S.M. Swain, et. al. (2012). "Pertuzumab plus trastumab plus docetaxel for metastatic breast cancer". N. Engl. J. Med. 36:109-119. View Citation Online	I / Good
3. Yin, W., J. Lu, et. al. (2011). "Trastuzumab in adjuvant treatment HER2-positive early breast cancer patients: A meta-analysis of published randomized controlled trials." PLoS ONE 6(6): e21030. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021030. View Citation Online	I / Good
4. Cortes, J., J. Baselga, et. al. (2012). "Pertuzumab monotherapy after trastuzumab-based treatment and subsequent reintroduction of trastuzumab: activity and tolerability in patients with advanced human epidermal growth factor receptor-2-positive breast cancer." J. Clin. Oncol. 30. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2011.37.4207. View Citation Online	II-1 / Good
5. Hurvitz, S.A., E.A. Perez, et. al. (2013) "Phase II randomized study of trastuzumab emtansine versus trastuzumab plus docetaxel in patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive metastatic breast cancer." J Clin Oncol.31(9):1157-63 View Citation Online	I / Good
6. Slamon, D., M. Buyse, et. al. (2011). "Adjuvant trastuzumab in HER2-positive breast cancer." N. Engl. J. Med. 365:1273-83. View Citation Online	I / Good
7. Verma, S., K. Blackwell, et. al. (2012) "Trastuzumab Emtansine for HER2-Positive Advanced Breast Cancer" N Engl J Med. 367(19):1783-91. View Citation Online	I / Good
8. Bartlett, J.M.S., K. Miller, et. al. (2011). "A UK NEQAS ISH multicenter ring study using the Ventana HER2 dual-color ISH assay." Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 135:157-162. View Citation Online	II-3 / Good
9. Chen, C.-Y., P.-C. Yang, et al. (2011). "Thymidylate synthase and dihydrofolate reductase expression in non-small cell lung carcinoma: The association with treatment efficacy of pemetrexed." Lung Cancer 74(1): 132-138. View Citation Online	II-1 / Good
10. Qiu, L.X., M.H. Zheng, et. al. (2008). "Predictive value of thymidylate synthase expression in advanced colorectal cancer patients receiving fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy: Evidence from 24 studies." Int. J. Cancer: 123, 2384-2389. View Citation Online	I / Good
11. Lee, S.J., Y.H. Im, et. al. (2010). "Thymidylate synthase and thymidine phosphorylase as predictive markers of capecitabine monotherapy in patients with anthracycline- and taxane-pretreated metastatic breast cancer." Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. DOI 10.1007/s00280-010-1545-0. View Citation Online	II-3 / Good
12. Tan, D.S.P., M.E. Gore, et. Al. (2008) ""BRCAness" syndrome in ovarian cancer: a case-control study describing the clinical features and outcome of patients with epithelial ovarian cancer associated with BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations." J Clin Oncol. 26(34):5530-6 View Citation Online	II-2 / Good
13. Hennessy, B.T., G.B. Mills, et al. (2010) "Somatic mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 could expand the number of patients that benefit from poly (ADP ribose) polymerase inhibitors in ovarian cancer" J Clin Oncol. 28(22):3570-6 View Citation Online	II-3 / Good
14. Lowery, M.A., E.M. O'Reilly, et al. (2011) "An emerging entity: pancreatic adenocarcinoma associated with a known BRCA mutation: clinical descriptors, treatment implications, and future directions." Oncologist. 16(10):1397-402. View Citation Online	II-3 / Fair
15. Byrski, T., S. Narod, et. Al. (2009) "Pathologic complete response rates in young women with BRCA1-positive breast cancers after neoadjuvant chemotherapy." J Clin Oncol. 28(3):275-9. View Citation Online	II-3 / Good
16. Flaherty, K.T., D. Schadendorf, et al. (2012). "Improved Survival with MEK Inhibition in BRAF-Mutated Melanoma." N Eng J Med 367:107-114. View Citation Online	I / Good
17. Hauschild, A., P.B. Chapman, et al. (2012). "Dabrafenib in BRAF-mutated metastatic melanoma: a multicentre, open-label, phase 3 randomised controlled trial." Lancet 358-365. View Citation Online	I / Good

* See Appendix page 8 for Level of Evidence description.

PATIENT: Patient, Test (XX-Mon-1946)

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

REFERENCES

SOURCE	LEVEL OF EVIDENCE*
18. Sosman, J.A., A.K. Joe, et. al. (2012). "Survival in BRAF V600-mutant advanced melanoma treated with vemurafenib." N. Engl. J. Med. 366:707-14. View Citation Online	II-2 / Good
19. Chapman, P.B., G.A. McArthur, et. al. (2011). "Improved survival with vemurafenib in melanoma with BRAF V600E mutation." N. Engl. J. Med. This article (10.1056/NEJMoa1103782) was published on June 5, 2011, at nejm.org. View Citation Online	I / Good
20. Busch, C., P.E. Lonning, et. al. (2010). "MGMT expression levels predict disease stabilisation, progression-free and overall survival in patients with advanced melanomas treated with DTIC." European Journal of Cancer. 46:2127-2133. View Citation Online	II-2 / Good
21. Chinot, O. L., M. Barrie, et al. (2007). "Correlation between O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase and survival in inoperable newly diagnosed glioblastoma patients treated with neoadjuvant temozolomide." J Clin Oncol 25(12): 1470-5. View Citation Online	II-3 / Good
22. Penson, R.T., M.V. Seiden, et al. (2004). "Expression of multidrug resistance-1 protein inversely correlates with paclitaxel response and survival in ovarian cancer patients: a study in serial samples." Gynecologic Oncology 93:98-106. View Citation Online	II-3 / Fair
23. Yeh, J.J., A. Kao, et al. (2003). "Predicting Chemotherapy Response to Paclitaxel-Based Therapy in Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer with P-Glycoprotein Expression." Respiration 70:32-35. View Citation Online	II-3 / Fair
24. Ploussard, G., A. de la Taille, et al. (2010). "Class III β -Tubulin Expression Predicts Prostate Tumor Aggressiveness and Patient Response to Docetaxel-Based Chemotherapy." Clin Cancer Res 70(22): 9253-9264. View Citation Online	II-3 / Good
25. Gao, S., J. Gao, et al. (2012). "Clinical implications of REST and TUBB3 in ovarian cancer and its relationship to paclitaxel resistance." Tumor Biol 33:1759-1765. View Citation Online	II-3 / Good
26. Zhang, H.-L., X.-W. Zhou, et al. (2012). "Association between class III β -tubulin expression and response to paclitaxel/vinorelbine-based chemotherapy for non-small cell lung cancer: A meta-analysis." Lung Cancer 77: 9-15. View Citation Online	I / Good
27. Seve, P., C. Dumontet, et al. (2005). "Class III β -tubulin expression in tumor cells predicts response and outcome in patients with non-small cell lung cancer receiving paclitaxel." Mol Cancer Ther 4(12): 2001-2007. View Citation Online	II-3 / Good
28. Kulkarni, S.A., D.T. Ross, et. al. (2009). "TLE3 as a candidate biomarker of response to taxane therapy". Breast Cancer Research. 11:R17 (doi:10.1186/bcr2241). View Citation Online	II-2 / Good
29. Gennari, A., P. Bruzzi, et. al (2008) "HER2 status and efficacy of adjuvant anthracyclines in early breast cancer: a pooled analysis of randomized trials." J Natl Can Inst. 100:14-20. View Citation Online	I / Good
30. Press, M.F., Slamon, D.J., et. al. (2011). "Alteration of topoisomerase II-alpha gene in human breast cancer: association with responsiveness to anthracycline based chemotherapy." J. Clin. Oncol, 29(7):859-67. View Citation Online	I / Good
31. O'Malley, F.P., K.I. Pritchard, et al. (2011). "Topoisomerase II alpha protein and responsiveness of breast cancer to adjuvant chemotherapy with CEF compared to CMF in the NCIC CTG randomized MA.5 adjuvant trial." Breast Can Res Treat. 128, 401-409. View Citation Online	I / Good
32. Rodrigo, R.S., C. Axel le, et. al. (2011). "Topoisomerase II-alpha protein expression and histological response following doxorubicin-based induction chemotherapy predict survival of locally advanced soft tissues sarcomas." Eur J of Can. 47, 1319-1327. View Citation Online	II-3 / Good
33. Chintamani, J.P., Singh, et. al. (2005). "Role of p-glycoprotein expression in predicting response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer - a prospective clinical study." World J. Surg. Oncol. 3:61. View Citation Online	II-3 / Good
34. Akimoto, M., H. Saisho, et al. (2006). "Relationship between therapeutic efficacy of arterial infusion chemotherapy and expression of P-glycoprotein and p53 protein in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma." World J of Gastroenterol, 12(6), 868-873. View Citation Online	II-1 / Fair
35. Moroney, J.W., R. Kurzrock, et. al. (2011). "A phase I trial of liposomal doxorubicin, bevacizumab, and temsirolimus in patients with advanced gynecologic and breast malignancies." Clin. Cancer Res. 17:6840-6846. View Citation Online	II-3 / Fair

* See Appendix page 8 for Level of Evidence description.

PATIENT: Patient, Test (XX-Mon-1946)

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

REFERENCES

SOURCE	LEVEL OF EVIDENCE*
36. Janku, F., R. Kurzrock, et. al. (2012). "PIK3CA Mutation H1047R Is Associated with Response to PI3K/AKT/mTOR Signaling Pathway Inhibitors in Early-Phase Clinical Trials", <i>Cancer Res</i> ; 73(1); 276-84. View Citation Online	II-2 / Good
37. Janku, F., R. Kurzrock, et. al. (2012). "PI3K/Akt/mTOR inhibitors in patients with breast and gynecologic malignancies harboring PIK3CA mutations." <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> . DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2011.36.1196. View Citation Online	II-3 / Good
38. Gong, W., J. Dong, et. al. (2012). "RRM1 expression and clinical outcome of gemcitabine-containing chemotherapy for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: A meta-analysis." <i>Lung Cancer</i> . 75:374-380. View Citation Online	I / Good
39. Cassier, P.A., P. Hohenberger, et al. (2012). "Outcome of Patients with Platelet-Derived Growth Factor Receptor Alpha-Mutated Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors in the Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor Era." <i>Clin Cancer Res</i> 18:4458-4464. View Citation Online	II-3 / Good
40. Debiec-Rychter, M., I. Judson, et al. (2006). "KIT mutations and dose selection for imatinib in patients with advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumours." <i>Eur J Cancer</i> 42:1093-1103. View Citation Online	II-3 / Good
41. Heinrich, M.C., J.A. Fletcher, et. al. (2008). "Correlation of kinase genotype and clinical outcome in North American Intergroup phase III trial of imatinib mesylate for treatment of advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumor: CALGB 150105 study by Cancer and Leukemia Group B and Southwest Oncology Group." <i>J Clin Oncol</i> 26(33):5360-5367. View Citation Online	II-3 / Good
42. Guo, J., S. Qin, et. al. (2011). "Phase II, open-label, single-arm trial of imatinib mesylate in patients with metastatic melanoma harboring c-Kit mutation or amplification." <i>J. Clin. Oncol.</i> 29:2904-2909. View Citation Online	II-2 / Good
43. Carvajal, R.D., G.K. Schwartz, et. al. (2011). "KIT as a therapeutic target in metastatic melanoma." <i>JAMA</i> . 305(22):2327-2334. View Citation Online	II-2 / Good
44. Kostopoulos, I., G. Fountzilias, et. al. (2009). "Topoisomerase I but not thymidylate synthase is associated with improved outcome in patients with resected colorectal cancer treated with irinotecan containing adjuvant chemotherapy." <i>BMC Cancer</i> . 9:339 View Citation Online	II-2 / Fair
45. Ataka, M., K. Katano, et. al. (2007). "Topoisomerase I protein expression and prognosis of patients with colorectal cancer." <i>Yonago Acta medica</i> . 50:81-87 View Citation Online	II-3 / Fair
46. Braun, M.S., M.T. Seymour, et. al. (2008). "Predictive biomarkers of chemotherapy efficacy in colorectal cancer: results from the UK MRC FOCUS trial." <i>J. Clin. Oncol.</i> 26:2690-2698. View Citation Online	II-1 / Good
47. Amir, E. et. al. (2010). "Lapatinib and HER2 status: results of a meta-analysis of randomized phase III trials in metastatic breast cancer." <i>Cancer Treatment Reviews</i> . 36:410-415. View Citation Online	I / Good
48. Johnston, S., Pegram M., et. al. (2009). "Lapatinib combined with letrozole versus letrozole and placebo as first-line therapy for postmenopausal hormone receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> . Published ahead of print on September 28, 2009 as 10.1200/JCO.2009.23.3734. View Citation Online	I / Good
49. Press, M. F., R. S. Finn, et al. (2008). "HER-2 gene amplification, HER-2 and epidermal growth factor receptor mRNA and protein expression, and lapatinib efficacy in women with metastatic breast cancer." <i>Clin Cancer Res</i> 14(23): 7861-70. View Citation Online	I / Good
50. Desai, N., Soon-Shiong, P., et al. (2009). "SPARC Expression Correlates with Tumor Response to Albumin-Bound Paclitaxel in Head and Neck Cancer Patients." <i>Translational Oncology</i> 2(2): 59-64. View Citation Online	II-3 / Good
51. Von Hoff, D.D., M. Hidalgo, et. al. (2011). "Gemcitabine plus nab-paclitaxel is an active regimen in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: a phase I/II trial." <i>J. Clin. Oncol.</i> DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2011.36.5742. View Citation Online	II-2 / Good

* See Appendix page 8 for Level of Evidence description.

PATIENT: Patient, Test (XX-Mon-1946)

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

SPECIMEN(S) RECEIVED (GROSS DESCRIPTION)

Specimen ID: ABC-12345-YZ

Specimen Collected: XX-Mon-2015

The specimen(s) consist of: 1 (A) Paraffin Block - Client ID (ABC-12345-YZ) with the corresponding surgical pathology report labeled "ABC-12345-YZ".

Clinical History: Per the submitted documents, the patient is a 68 year-old male with metastatic melanoma.

Pathologic Diagnosis: Malignant melanoma, NOS

Disclaimer

All of the individual assays that are available through Caris Molecular Intelligence™ were developed and validated by Caris MPI, Inc. d/b/a Caris Life Sciences® and their test performance characteristics were determined and validated by Caris Life Sciences pursuant to the Clinical Laboratory Improvements Amendments and accompanying regulations ("CLIA"). Some of the assays that are part of Caris Molecular Intelligence have been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). For any remaining assays, Caris MPI, Inc. is certified under CLIA to perform high complexity testing, including all of the assays that comprise the Caris Molecular Intelligence.

The CLIA certification number of Caris MPI, Inc. laboratory performing testing in connection with Caris Molecular Intelligence can be found at the bottom of each page. This report includes information about therapies that appear to be associated with clinical benefit based on NCCN Compendium® guidelines, relevance of tumor lineage, level of published evidence and strength of biomarker results. This report, neither ranks biomarkers listed nor therapies associated with such biomarkers, in order of potential or predicted efficacy, and such therapies may or may not be suitable for administration to a particular patient. A determination of biomarker results do not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness or lack thereof. This report does not guarantee or suggest that any particular agent will be effective with the treatment of any particular condition. Caris Life Sciences expressly disclaims and makes no representation or warranty whatsoever relating, directly or indirectly, to review of identified scientific literature, the conclusions drawn from such review or any of the information set forth in this report that is derived from such review, including information and conclusions relating to therapies that are included or omitted from this report.

Decisions regarding care and treatment should not be based on a single test such as this test or the information contained in this report. The decision to select any, all or none of the listed therapies resides solely within the discretion of the treating physician. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, including but not limited to, patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the applicable standard of care.

The information presented in the Clinical Trials Connector™ section of this report (if applicable) is compiled from sources believed to be reliable and current. We have used our best efforts to make this information as accurate as possible. However, the accuracy and completeness of this information cannot be guaranteed. The contents are to be used for clinical trial guidance and may not include all relevant trials. Current enrollment status for these trials is unknown. The clinical trials information present in the biomarker description was compiled from www.clinicaltrials.gov. The contents are to be used only as a guide, and health care providers should employ their judgment in interpreting this information for a particular patient. Specific eligibility criteria for each clinical trial should be reviewed as additional inclusion criteria may apply. Caris Life Sciences makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party private or government payor, will provide reimbursement for any of the tests performed.

The next-generation sequencing assay performed by Caris Life Sciences examines nucleic acids obtained from tumor tissue only and does not examine normal tissue such as tumor adjacent tissue or whole or peripheral blood. As such, the origin of any mutation detected may be a somatic mutation (not inherited) or a germline mutation (inherited) and will not be distinguishable by this assay. It is recommended that results be considered within the patient's clinical and health history. If a germline inheritance pattern is suspected then counseling by a board certified genetic counselor is recommended.



PATIENT: Patient, Test (XX-Mon-1946)

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

MUTATIONAL ANALYSIS BY **NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING (NGS)**

GENES TESTED WITH ALTERATIONS

Gene	Alteration	Frequency (%)	Exon	Result
BRAF	V600E	47	15	Mutated, Pathogenic

Interpretation: A pathogenic mutation was detected in BRAF

BRAF encodes a protein belonging to the raf/mil family of serine/threonine protein kinases. This protein plays a role in regulating the MAP kinase/ERK signaling pathway initiated by EGFR activation, which affects cell division, differentiation, and secretion. BRAF somatic mutations have been found in melanoma (43%), thyroid (39%), biliary tree (14%), colon (12%), and ovarian tumors (12%). Patients with V600E BRAF mutation have a reduced likelihood of response to EGFR targeted monoclonal antibodies in colorectal cancer and sensitivity to BRAF inhibitors, vemurafenib and dabrafenib, and MEK1/2 inhibitor, trametinib in various solid tumors. Various clinical trials (on www.clinicaltrials.gov) investigating agents which target this gene may be available for BRAF mutated patients. BRAF inherited mutations are associated with Noonan/Cardio-Facio-Cutaneous (CFC) syndrome, syndromes associated with short stature, distinct facial features, and potential heart/skeletal abnormalities.

GENES TESTED WITH NO MUTATIONS DETECTED

ABL1	AKT1	ALK	APC	ATM	c-KIT
CDH1	cMET	CSF1R	CTNNB1	EGFR	ERBB2
ERBB4	FBXW7	FGFR1	FGFR2	FLT3	GNA11
GNAQ	GNAS	HRAS	IDH1	JAK2	JAK3
KDR	KRAS	MPL	NOTCH1	NPM1	NRAS
PDGFRA	PIK3CA	PTEN	PTPN11	RB1	SMAD4
SMARCB1	SMO	STK11	TP53	VHL	

GENES TESTED WITH QNS RESULTS (QUANTITY NOT SUFFICIENT)

HNF1A	RET
-------	-----

For Next-Generation Sequencing, a total of 46 genes were analyzed. The results above include genes most commonly associated with cancer and any additional mutations identified. No alterations were identified in 43 genes. For a complete list of genes tested, visit www.CarisMolecularIntelligence.com/profilemenu.

Electronic Signature

XX-Mon-2015

Comments on NGS Analysis

Molecular testing of this specimen was performed after harvesting of targeted tissues with an approved manual microdissection technique. Candidate slides were examined under a microscope and areas containing tumor cells (and separately normal cells, when necessary for testing) were circled. A laboratory technician harvested targeted tissues for extraction from the marked areas using a dissection microscope. The areas marked and extracted were microscopically reexamined on post-microdissected slides and adequacy of microdissection was verified by a board certified Pathologist.

NGS Methods

Direct sequence analysis was performed on genomic DNA isolated from a formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor sample using the Illumina MiSeq platform. Specific regions of the genome were amplified using the Illumina TruSeq Amplicon Cancer Hotspot panel. This panel only sequences selected regions of 44 genes and the amino acids sequenced by this assay can be found at www.carislifesciences.com. All variants reported by this are detected with >99% confidence based on the frequency of the mutation present and the amplicon coverage. This test is not designed to distinguish between germ line inheritance of a variant or acquired somatic mutation. This test has a sensitivity to detect as low as approximately 10% population of cells containing a mutation a sequenced amplicon. This test has not been cleared or approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as such approval is not necessary. All performance characteristics were determined by Caris Life Sciences. Insertions or deletions larger than 27 bp will not be detected by this assay. Benign and non-coding variants are not included in this report but are available upon request.

Additional Next-Generation Sequencing results continued on the next page. >

PATIENT: Patient, Test (XX-Mon-1946)

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

MUTATIONAL ANALYSIS BY **NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING (NGS)**

GENES TESTED WITH NO MUTATIONS DETECTED

BRCA1

BRCA2

Electronic Signature

XX-Mon-2015

BRCA1 Sequencing Methods

Direct sequence analysis was performed on genomic DNA isolated from a formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor sample using the Illumina MiSeq platform. Specific regions of BRCA1 were amplified using primers flanking coding regions of this gene. All variants reported by this are detected with >99% confidence based on the frequency of the mutation present and the amplicon coverage. This test is not designed to distinguish between germ line inheritance of a variant or acquired somatic mutation. This test has a sensitivity to detect as low as approximately 20% population of cells containing a mutation a sequenced amplicon. This test has not been cleared or approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as such approval is not necessary. All performance characteristics were determined by Caris Life Sciences. Insertions or deletions larger than 27 bp will not be detected by this assay. Benign and non-coding variants are not included in this report but are available upon request.

BRCA2 Sequencing Methods

Direct sequence analysis was performed on genomic DNA isolated from a formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor sample using the Illumina MiSeq platform. Specific regions of BRCA2 were amplified using primers flanking coding regions of this gene. All variants reported by this are detected with >99% confidence based on the frequency of the mutation present and the amplicon coverage. This test is not designed to distinguish between germ line inheritance of a variant or acquired somatic mutation. This test has a sensitivity to detect as low as approximately 20% population of cells containing a mutation a sequenced amplicon. This test has not been cleared or approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as such approval is not necessary. All performance characteristics were determined by Caris Life Sciences. Insertions or deletions larger than 27 bp will not be detected by this assay. Benign and non-coding variants are not included in this report but are available upon request.

PATIENT: Patient, Test (XX-Mon-1946)

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

MUTATIONAL ANALYSIS BY **QUANTITATIVE REAL-TIME POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION (qPCR)**

Gene	Interpretation	Result
BRAF	Positive for the p.V600E mutation	V600E
	Procedure: qPCR	
BRAF mutation detected by PCR		

Electronic Signature

XX-Mon-2015

SAMPLE REPORT. ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY. NOT FOR CLINICAL USE

PATIENT: Patient, Test (XX-Mon-1946)

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

PROTEIN EXPRESSION BY **IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY (IHC)**

Biomarker	Patient Tumor			Threshold * Biomarker Intensity/Percentage
	Staining Intensity	Percent Staining	Result	
SPARC Monoclonal	2	25	Negative	<30% or <2+ or ≥2+ and ≥30%
SPARC Polyclonal	2	15	Negative	<30% or <2+ or ≥2+ and ≥30%
ER	0	100	Negative	=0+ or <10% or ≥1+ and ≥10%
PR	0	100	Negative	=0+ or <10% or ≥1+ and ≥10%
Androgen Receptor	0	100	Negative	=0+ or <10% or ≥1+ and ≥10%
TOPO1	2	50	Positive	=0+ or <30% or <2+ or ≥2+ and ≥30%
TOP2A	2	15	Positive	=0+ or <10% or ≥1+ and ≥10%
TLE3	2	40	Positive	<30% or <2+ or ≥2+ and ≥30%
TUBB3	2	25	Negative	<30% or <2+ or ≥2+ and ≥30%
PGP	0	100	Negative	=0+ or <10% or ≥1+ and ≥10%
EGFR	0	100	Negative	=0+ or <10% or ≥1+ and ≥10%
Her2/Neu	0	100	Negative	≤1+ or =2+ and ≤10% or ≥3+ and >10%
cMET	2	10	Negative	<50% or <2+ or ≥2+ and ≥50%
MGMT	1	4	Negative	=0+ or ≤35% or ≥1+ and >35%
PD-L1	2	2	Negative	<5% or <2+ or ≥2+ and ≥5%
PTEN	1	100	Positive	=0+ or ≤50% or ≥1+ and >50%
RRM1	2	20	Negative	=0+ or <50% or <2+ or ≥2+ and ≥50%
TS	1	20	Positive	=0+ or ≤3+ and <10% or ≥1+ and ≥10%

Electronic Signature

XX-Mon-2015

IHC Methods

These tests were developed and their performance characteristics determined by Caris MPI, Inc. d/b/a Caris Life Sciences®

* Caris Life Sciences has defined threshold levels of reactivity of IHC to establish cutoff points based on published evidence. Polymer detection systems are used for each IHC.

* Please note that PD-L1 staining is read from the cytoplasmic or membrane staining of cancer cells.

Clones used: SPARC Monoclonal(122511), SPARC Polyclonal(Polyclonal), ER(SP1), PR(1E2), Androgen Receptor(AR27), TOPO1(1D6), TOP2A(3F6), TLE3(Polyclonal), TUBB3(Polyclonal), PGP(C494), EGFR(H11), Her2/Neu(4B5), cMET(SP44), MGMT(MT23.2), PD-L1(130021), PTEN(6H2.1), RRM1(Polyclonal), TS(TS106/4H4B1).

Additional IHC results continued on the next page. >

PATIENT: Patient, Test (XX-Mon-1946)

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

PROTEIN EXPRESSION BY **IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY (IHC)**

Biomarker	TIL Count/HPF w/40X Objective	Result	Threshold *
PD-1	>5/HPF	Positive	=0+ or ≥1+

Electronic Signature

XX-Mon-2015

IHC Methods

These tests were developed and their performance characteristics determined by Caris MPI, Inc. d/b/a Caris Life Sciences®

* Please note that PD1 staining is read from the tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL). Clones used: PD-1 (MRQ-22).

Clones used: PD-1 (MRQ-22).

SAMPLE REPORT. ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY. NOT FOR CLINICAL USE.

PATIENT: Patient, Test (XX-Mon-1946)

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

AMPLIFICATION BY **CHROMOGENIC IN SITU HYBRIDIZATION (CISH)**

Gene / ISCN	Cells Counted	Result	Total/Avg Gene Copy Number	Total/Avg Control Copy Number	Cells with ≥4 Copies	Cells with ≥15 Copies	Ratio Calculation	Ratio
Her2/Neu nuc ish (D17Z1x1-2,HER2x1-2)[/30]	20	Not Amplified	1.70	1.75	N/A	N/A	Her2/neu/ Chromosome 17	0.97
<i>Reference Range:</i> Her2/Neu:CEP 17 signal ratio of ≥ 2.0 ; and non-amplification as < 2.0 per Ventana INFORM HER2 CISH Package insert.								
cMET nuc ish (D7Z1x1-2,cMETx1-2)[100/100]	20	Not Amplified	2.50	3.40	N/A	N/A		0.74
<i>Reference Range:</i> Positivity for increased gene copy number for cMET CISH has been defined as ≥ 5 copies of mean MET gene copy number per cell in NSCLC based on cMET FISH evidence (Cappuzzo et al 2009). The gene copy number threshold for other tumor types has not been determined.								

Electronic Signature

XX-Mon-2015

CISH Methods

HER2 CISH test was carried out using the INFORM DUAL HER2 ISH Assay (Ventana Medical Systems, Inc.), which has been cleared by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for enumerating the ratio of HER2/Chr 17 in Breast Cancer samples. The HER2 CISH testing for cancer lineages other than breast has been developed and their performance characteristics determined by Caris MPI, Inc. (d/b/a Caris Life Sciences), and have not been cleared or approved by the FDA.

cMET CISH was carried out using a probe specific for cMET and a probe for the pericentromeric region of chromosome 7 (Ventana). The cMET CISH testing for cancer lineages has been developed and its performance characteristics determined by Caris MPI, Inc. (d/b/a Caris Life Sciences), and has not been cleared or approved by the FDA.

The FDA has determined that such clearance or approval is not currently necessary. These tests should not be regarded as investigational or research as they are used for clinical purpose and determined to be medically necessary by the ordering physician, who is not employed by Caris MPI, Inc. or its affiliates. This laboratory is certified under Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendment of 1988 (CLIA-88) and is qualified to perform high complexity testing. CLIA 03D1019490

PATIENT: Patient, Test (XX-Mon-1946)

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

LITERATURE LEVEL OF EVIDENCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK*

STUDY DESIGN	
Hierarchy of Design	Criteria
I	Evidence obtained from at least one properly designed randomized controlled trial .
II-1	Evidence obtained from well-designed controlled trials without randomization .
II-2	Evidence obtained from well-designed cohort or case-control analytic studies, preferably from more than one center or research group.
II-3	Evidence obtained from multiple time series with or without the intervention. Dramatic results in uncontrolled trials might also be regarded as this type of evidence.
III	Evidence obtained from multiple time series with or without the intervention. Dramatic results in uncontrolled trials might also be regarded as this type of evidence.

STUDY VALIDITY	
Grade	Criteria
Good	The study is judged to be valid and relevant as regards results, statistical analysis, and conclusions and shows no significant flaws.
Fair	The study is judged to be valid and relevant as regards results, statistical analysis, and conclusions, but contains at least one significant but not fatal flaw.
Poor	The study is judged to have a fatal flaw such that the conclusions are not valid for the purposes of this test.

* Adapted from Harris, T., D. Atkins, et al. (2001). "Current Methods of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force." Am J Prev Med 20(3S)

SAMPLE REPORT. ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY. NOT FOR CLINICAL USE.